## **Comparison Sorting Lower Bounds**

What is the theoretically fastest possible sort speed? Comparison sorting algorithms sort arrays by comparing elements (with no extra information) Examples: Insertion sort Heapsort Quicksort H. Steinhaus considered a sorting puzzle - ranking all players in tennis tournaments (1939) L. Ford and S. Johnson introduced merge-insertion sort with decision tree analysis (1959)

References/Notes/Image credits:

H. Steinhaus, "Mathematical Snapshots" (1939)



Runtime complexity results for comparison sorts

Lower bound for worst input:  $\rightarrow \Omega(n \log n)$ 

Lower bound for average input:  $\rightarrow \Omega(n \log n)$ 

 $\implies O(n \log n)$  sorts such as heapsort are asymptotically optimal

Note: non-comparison sorts (e.g. Radix sort) can do better

L. Ford and S. Johnson, "A tournament problem", The American Mathematical Monthly (1959) (Image of Ford) <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lester\_R.\_Ford#/media/File:Lester\_R.\_Ford.gif</u> (Image of Johnson) <u>https://alchetron.com/Selmer-M-Johnson</u>



<sup>(</sup>Image of Steinhaus) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hugo\_Steinhaus#/media/File:Hugo\_Steinhaus.jpg (Bumps photo) https://www.flickr.com/photos/stanbury/42283400832/in/photostream/

## **Comparison Sorting Algorithms and Decision Trees**

A comparison sort ingests an array  $[a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1}]$ It only gains information by comparing pairs: "is  $a_i < a_i$ "? It outputs a permutation that orders the items For our analysis, assume all elements are distinct (repeated elements won't affect lower bounds)

References:

A. Blum and M. Blum, "Comparison-based Lower Bounds for Sorting", https://www.cs.cmu.edu/~avrim/ 451f11/lectures/lect0913.pdf

D. E. Knuth, "The art of computer programming, vol. 3: sorting and searching", Chap 5.3 (1998)

T. Cormen et al., "Introduction to algorithms", Chap 8, MIT press (2022)

J. Erickson, "Lower Bounds", <u>https://jeffe.cs.illinois.edu/teaching/algorithms/notes/12-lowerbounds.pdf</u> (2018) Note: in a "full" binary tree, every node has either 0 or 2 children.



## Lower Bound On The Worst Case

There are n! possible permutations (without repetitions) i.e. ways to sort the input A correct comparison sorting algorithm must be able to produce all of these  $\implies$  a comparison sort corresponds to a full binary tree with  $\ge n!$  leaves What is the maximum height of a decision tree? A binary tree with height h has at most  $2^{t}$ Stirling's approximation:  $n! = \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n \sqrt{2\pi}$  $h \ge \log(n!) \ge \log\left(\frac{n}{\rho}\right)^n = n\log n - n$ 

**References**:

- A. Blum and M. Blum, "Comparison-based Lower Bounds for Sorting", https://www.cs.cmu.edu/~avrim/451f11/lectures/lect0913.pdf D. E. Knuth, "The art of computer programming, vol. 3: sorting and searching", Chap 5.3 (1998)
- T. Cormen et al., "Introduction to algorithms", Chap 8, MIT press (2022)
- J. Erickson, "Lower Bounds", <u>https://jeffe.cs.illinois.edu/teaching/algorithms/notes/12-lowerbounds.pdf</u> (2018)

- Worst case number of comparisons length of longest simple path from root to leaf

$$\frac{h}{\pi n} \left(1 + \Theta\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \ge \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^{n}$$

$$a \log e = \Omega(n \log n)$$
No comparison sort can be than this on its worst case in



## Lower Bound On The Average Case

What is the smallest possible average height of a decision tree? The average height is smallest when the tree is completely balanced Completely balanced means no leaf heights differ by more than 1 If the tree is completely balanced, each leaf has depth  $\lceil \log(n!) \rceil$  or  $\lfloor \log(n!) \rfloor$ smallest possible average height is  $\Omega(n \log n)$ 

**References:** 

A. Blum and M. Blum, "Comparison-based Lower Bounds for Sorting", https://www.cs.cmu.edu/~avrim/451f11/lectures/lect0913.pdf D. E. Knuth, "The art of computer programming, vol. 3: sorting and searching", Chap 5.3 (1998)

No comparison sort can be faster than this on average